SINGLE BENCH

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1477 OF 2005

PETITIONER

Dr.D.R.Sahu, S/o- Late Shri K.R.Sahu, Aged About- 65 Years, Rtrd. Ayurvedic Medical Officer, R/o-Village–Dhamani, Thesil Rajim, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENT (S)

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through it's Secretary Department of Health, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
2. State of Chhattisgarh Through it's Secretary Department of Panchayat, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
3. Collector, Raipur (C.G.)
4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Phingeshwar, Thesil Rajim, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
5. Divisional Officer Ayurveda Raipur Division, Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 14/2/05 Presented by Shri Vivek Sharma dated 26.04.05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS / CERTIORARI ETC. OR DIRECTION / ORDER IN THE LIKE NATURE.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

34

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1477/ **सन्** 2005

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

19-4-2005

Mr. Vinay Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhaskar Pyasi, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No.1 to 3 & 5.

Heard both the counsel.

The petitioner's main grievance in this writ petition is that he is a retired Ayurvedic Medical Officer and he retired from service on 31st January, 1998, thereafter, his pension was fixed, but after revision of the pension of the employees, the petitioner's pension is not being revised as per the revised pension scheme, whereas, the other similarly situated Vaidya's pension has already been revised.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.4 to which learned Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh/respondents No.1 to 3 and 5 has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.4 within ten days from today raising all his grievances, and in turn, respondent No.4 is directed to consider the representation made by the petitioner and decide the same within a period of three months from the date of the receipt of the representation in an objective manner after considering the grievances of the petitioner, in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.1146/2005, I.A.Nos.2812/2005 and 2813/2005 also stand disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Soma